



Umbrella Summary

Job Satisfaction

A Summary of Workforce Research Evidence Relevant to the Child Welfare Field

What is job satisfaction?

Job satisfaction is generally thought of as the degree to which an individual likes or dislikes their job (Spector, 1997). Along with [organizational commitment](#), it is one of the most commonly studied job attitudes and is used as a way to gauge how employees feel about their jobs and as a reflection of how well the organization is functioning. Job satisfaction is commonly considered as either “a global feeling about the job or as a related constellation of attitudes about various aspects or facets of the job” (Spector, 1997, p. 2). Thus, job satisfaction is generally measured as either a global construct or at the facet level, with survey questions targeting specific aspects of the job. Each level of measurement is important for different reasons: the global measurement of job satisfaction is generally used to determine employees’ overall feelings and to examine how job satisfaction relates to other organizational variables, whereas the facet approach is able to tell organizations which exact aspects of the job are producing either satisfaction or dissatisfaction in employees (Spector, 1997). Once areas of dissatisfaction are identified, organizations can then use this knowledge in order to target improvements in certain areas of the job (e.g., adding more fringe benefits if this is identified as an area of general dissatisfaction among employees).

For the global measurement of job satisfaction, we recommend the job satisfaction subscale of the Michigan Organizational Assessment Questionnaire (MOAQ; Lawler et al., 1975). Although the questionnaire contains scales that assess a variety of different constructs, the job satisfaction portion contains three items including, “All in all, I am satisfied with my job,” “In general, I don’t like my job,” and “In general, I like working here,” which can be totaled to arrive at a global job satisfaction score. More information on the MOAQ can be found [here](#).

For measuring job satisfaction at the facet level, we recommend the Job Satisfaction Survey, a 36-item measure that assesses nine facets of satisfaction with pay, promotion, supervision, fringe benefits, contingent rewards, operating procedures, coworkers, the nature of work, and communication (Spector, 1985). Some example items include: “The benefit package we have is equitable” (fringe benefits), “Work assignments are not fully explained” (communication), and “I feel a sense of pride in doing my job” (nature of work). Items within facets can be totaled to arrive at a score for each of the nine facets, or all 36 items can be totaled to arrive at a single job satisfaction score. More information on this measure, including the complete list of items and scoring instructions, can be found [here](#).

Why is job satisfaction important?

Job satisfaction is important in and of itself because organizations should care about having satisfied employees. Additionally, job satisfaction is important to study and measure because it is linked to both unit-level and individual-level outcomes within organizations. At the unit-level, higher job satisfaction among employees is modestly linked to higher profit and moderately linked to greater productivity and customer satisfaction (Harter et al., 2002; Whitman et al., 2010).

When examining the individual level, higher job satisfaction is moderately related to greater performance and [organizational citizenship behaviors](#) in the workplace, as well as fewer [counterproductive work behaviors](#) (Ilies et al., 2009; Karam et al., 2019; Mackey et al., 2021). There is a strong relationship between job satisfaction and [organizational commitment](#), meaning that those who are high in job satisfaction also tend to be more committed to their organization (Meyer et al., 2002). Higher job satisfaction is also moderately related to lower [turnover](#) and strongly related to lower turnover intentions (Rubenstein et al., 2017; Tett & Meyer, 1993).

What contributes to job satisfaction?

Most research that exists on job satisfaction has examined factors that are correlated with job satisfaction, rather than testing for causality to determine which factors can increase or decrease job satisfaction. Thus, we report below the main factors that are simply associated with job satisfaction. High job satisfaction tends to be associated with factors like having [meaningful work](#), [support from one's organization](#), good [fit](#) with one's job, [psychological capital](#), [employee engagement](#), positive forms of leadership (e.g., [transformational leadership](#), [leader-member exchange](#)), and components of [job design](#) (e.g., job autonomy, task identity; Allan et al., 2018; Avey et al., 2011; Christian et al., 2011; Dulebohn et al., 2012; Kristof-Brown et al., 2005; Kurtessis et al., 2017; Ng, 2017; Rubenstein et al., 2019).

Numerous other factors including individual differences, leadership practices, and factors within the organizational context may contribute to experiencing job satisfaction in the workplace. For a more complete breakdown of the other meta-analytically identified correlates of job satisfaction, please refer to the [QIC-WD Workforce Research Catalog](#).

QIC-WD Takeaways

- ▶ Job satisfaction is the extent to which an individual likes or dislikes their job.
- ▶ Higher job satisfaction is linked to higher unit-level profit, productivity, and customer satisfaction.
- ▶ Higher job satisfaction is related to greater performance, organizational commitment, and organizational citizenship behaviors and to fewer counterproductive work behaviors, lower turnover intentions, and lower actual turnover.
- ▶ A variety of individual differences, job attitudes, leadership practices, and factors within the work environment are associated with job satisfaction.

- ▶ For the global measurement of job satisfaction, researchers and practitioners should consider using the 3-item job satisfaction scale from the Michigan Organizational Assessment Questionnaire (MOAQ; Lawler et al., 1975).
- ▶ For the facet-level measurement of job satisfaction, researchers and practitioners should consider using the 36-item Job Satisfaction Survey (JSS), which assesses satisfaction with pay, promotion, supervision, fringe benefits, contingent rewards, operating conditions, coworkers, the nature of the work, and communication (Spector, 1985).

References

- Allan, B. A., Batz-Barbarich, C., Sterling, H. M., & Tay, L. (2018). Outcomes of meaningful work: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Management Studies, 56*(3), 500–528.
- Avey, J. B., Reichard, R. J., Luthans, F., & Mhatre, K. H. (2011). Meta-analysis of the impact of positive psychological capital on employee attitudes, behaviors, and performance. *Human Resource Development Quarterly, 22*(2), 127–152.
- Christian, M. S., Garza, A. S., & Slaughter, J. E. (2011). Work engagement: A quantitative review and test of its relations with task and contextual performance. *Personnel Psychology, 64*(1), 89–136.
- Dulebohn, J. H., Bommer, W. H., Liden, R. C., Brouer, R. L., & Ferris, G. R. (2012). A meta-analysis of antecedents and consequences of leader-member exchange: Integrating the past with an eye toward the future. *Journal of Management, 38*(6), 1715–1759.
- Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 87*(2), 268–279.
- Illies, R., Fulmer, I. S., Spitzmuller, M., & Johnson, M. D. (2009). Personality and citizenship behavior: The mediating role of job satisfaction. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 94*(4), 945–959.
- Karam, E. P., Hu, J., Davison, R. B., Juravich, M., Nahrgang, J. D., Humphrey, S. E., & DeRue, D. S. (2019). Illuminating the ‘face’ of justice: A meta-analytic examination of leadership and organizational justice. *Journal of Management Studies, 56*(1), 134–171.
- Kristof-Brown, A. L., Zimmerman, R. D., & Johnson, E. C. (2005). Consequences of individuals’ fit at work: A meta-analysis of person-job, person-organization, person-group, and person-supervisor fit. *Personnel Psychology, 58*(2), 281–342.
- Kurtessis, J. N., Eisenberger, R., Ford, M. T., Buffardi, L. C., Stewart, K. A., & Adis, C. S. (2017). Perceived organizational support: A meta-analytic evaluation of organizational support theory. *Journal of Management, 43*(6), 1854–1884.
- Lawler, E., Cammann, C., Nadler, D., & Jenkins, D. (1975). *Michigan Organizational Assessment Questionnaire (MOAQ)* [Database record]. APA PsycTests.

Mackey, J. D., McAllister, C. P., Ellen, B. P., III, & Carson, J. E. (2021). A meta-analysis of interpersonal and organizational workplace deviance research. *Journal of Management*, 47(3), 597–622.

Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnytsky, L. (2002). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: A meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 61(1), 20–52.

Ng, T. W. H. (2017). Transformational leadership and performance outcomes: Analyses of multiple mediation pathways. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 28(3), 385–417.

Rubenstein, A. L., Eberly, M. B., Lee, T. W., & Mitchell, T. R. (2017). Surveying the forest: A meta-analysis, moderator investigation, and future-oriented discussion of the antecedents of voluntary employee turnover. *Personnel Psychology*, 71(1), 23–65.

Rubenstein, A. L., Zhang, Y., Ma, K., Morrison, H. M., & Jorgensen, D. F. (2019). Trait expression through perceived job characteristics: A meta-analytic path model linking personality and job attitudes. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 112(1), 141–157.

Spector, P. E. (1985). Measurement of human service staff satisfaction: Development of the Job Satisfaction Survey. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 13(6), 693–713.

Spector, P. E. (1997). *Job satisfaction: Application, assessment, causes, and consequences*. Sage Publications, Inc.

Tett, R. P., & Meyer, J. P. (1993). Job satisfaction, organizational commitment, turnover intention, and turnover: Path analyses based on meta-analytic findings. *Personnel Psychology*, 46(2), 259–293.

Whitman, D. S., Van Rooy, D. L., & Viswesvaran, C. (2010). Satisfaction, citizenship behaviors, and performance in work units: A meta-analysis of collective construct relations. *Personnel Psychology*, 63(1), 41–81.

Author(s)

Sarah Stepanek, MA, University of Nebraska at Omaha

Megan Paul, PhD, University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Suggested Citation

Stepanek, S., & Paul, M. (2023, November 29). *Umbrella summary: Job satisfaction*. Quality Improvement Center for Workforce Development. <https://www.qic-wd.org/umbrella-summary/job-satisfaction>

For general information about Umbrella Summaries, visit <https://www.qic-wd.org/umbrella-summaries-faq>

For more information about the QIC-WD, visit qic-wd.org or contact: Michelle Graef, Project Director, at mgraef1@unl.edu.

This Summary was developed with funding from the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Children’s Bureau, Grant #HHS-2016-ACF-ACYF-CT-1178. The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the view or policies of the funder, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products or organizations imply endorsement by the US Department of Health and Human Services.